FINANCIAL REPORT
NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

# Michigan Deptartment of Treasury 496 (2-04) **Auditing Procedures Report**Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended.

Local Gov	ernment Type	nship	Village	<b> ✓</b> Other	Local Governme Northeast		an Council of	Governmen	ts Coun	ity sego
	Audit Date Opinion Date Date Accountant Report Submitted to State: 2/7/05									
accordan	ice with the Statement	ne Sta	atements of	the Govern	mental Accou	unting Sta		(GASB) and 1	the <i>Uniform</i> ent of Treas	statements prepared in Reporting Format for Sury L. L. VED PT. OF TREASURY
		lied w	rith the <i>Bulleti</i>	in for the Au	dits of Local U	Jnits of G	ovemment in Mi	<i>chigan</i> as revis	sed.	FEB - 7 2005
2. We a	are certifie	d publ	ic accountant	ts registered	I to practice in	Michigar	1.			
	er affirm th ts and reco			esponses h	ave been disc	dosed in t	he financial stat	ements, includ	LOCAI	S, or in the report of
You must	check the	applic	cable box for	each item b	elow.					
Yes	<b>√</b> No	1. (	Certain comp	onent units/	funds/agencie	s of the id	ocal unit are exc	luded from the	financial st	tatements.
✓Yes	☐ No		There are ac 275 of 1980).	cumulated o	deficits in one	or more	of this unit's un	reserved fund	balances/re	etained earnings (P.A
Yes	<b>√</b> No		There are insamended).	stances of r	non-complianc	e with the	e Uniform Acco	ounting and B	udgeting Ad	ot (P.A. 2 of 1968, as
Yes	Yes No 4. The local unit has violated the conditions of either an order issued under the Municipal Finance Act or its requirements, or an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act.							pal Finance Act or its		
Yes	<b>√</b> No		5. The local unit holds deposits/investments which do not comply with statutory requirements. (P.A. 20 of 1943, as amended [MCL 129.91], or P.A. 55 of 1982, as amended [MCL 38.1132]).							
Yes	<b>√</b> No	6. 1	he local unit	has been de	elinquent in dis	stributing	tax revenues th	at were collect	ed for anoth	ner taxing unit.
Yes	The local unit has violated the Constitutional requirement (Article 9, Section 24) to fund current year earner  Yes No 7. pension benefits (normal costs) in the current year. If the plan is more than 100% funded and the overfunding credits are more than the normal cost requirement, no contributions are due (paid during the year).							d and the overfunding		
Yes	Yes ✓ No 8. The local unit uses credit cards and has not adopted an applicable policy as required by P.A. 266 of 1999 (MCL 129.241).						by P.A. 266 of 1995			
Yes	✓ No	9. T	he local unit	has not ado	pted an invest	tment poli	cy as required b	y P.A. 196 of	1997 (MCL	129.95).
We have	enclosed	the fo	ollowing:					Enclosed	To Be	
The letter	r of comme	ents a	nd recommer	ndations.						<b>√</b>
Reports o	n individu	al fede	eral financial	assistance p	programs (prog	gram aud	its).			<b>✓</b>
Single Au	ıdit Report	s (ASI	LGU).					✓		
Hill, Sc	blic Accounta	•	•							
	ring Stre	et					City Petoskey		State MI	ZIP <b>49770</b>
Accountant	Signature	ع	Somb	pera	~ Q.	4			Date <b>2/4/05</b>	

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS FINANCIAL REPORT September 30, 2003

#### Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	i-iii
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets	3
Notes to Financial Statements	5-10
Other Supplemental Information	
Program Activity-Combining Statement List of Programs	11-13 14-15
Federal Single Audit Reporting	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and Non-Federal Awards Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and Non-Federal Awards Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	16-17 18-19 20 21 22
schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	23

November 18, 2004

#### Independent Auditors' Report

Board of Directors

Northeast Michigan Council of Governments

Gaylord, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of the Northeast Michigan Council of Governments, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2003, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the Northeast Michigan Council of Governments as of September 30, 2003, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As described in Note 1, the Northeast Michigan Council of Governments has implemented a new financial reporting model, as required by the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments, as of October 1, 2002.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 18, 2004, on our consideration of the Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Board of Directors Northeast Michigan Council of Governments

The management's discussion and analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquires of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' basic financial statements. The other supplemental information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and non-federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments. The other supplemental information and the schedule of expenditures of federal and non-federal awards have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Hill, Schroderus & co.

Petoskey, Michigan



#### Northeast Michigan Council of Governments

121 E. Mitchell St. \* P.O. Box 457 Gaylord, MI 49734

Voice: 989.732.3551 Fax: 989.732.5578

> An Egual

Opportunity

Employer

Web: www.nemcog.org

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### Overview of the Basic Financial Statements

Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' basic financial statements include government-wide statements and notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplemental information in addition to the basic financial statements. Because this is the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 34, prior year numbers are not presented within the Management's Discussion and Analysis. A comparative analysis will be provided in future years when prior year information is available.

#### Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements report information on the Council's business type fund. The government-wide statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the Council's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The Statement of Net Assets displays all of the Council's assets and liabilities, with the difference reported as net assets. All long-term assets and debt obligations are presented, as applicable.

The Statement of Activities focuses on the current year's revenues and expenses using accounting methods similar to those used by private sector businesses. This statement measures the Council's change in net assets for the year.

#### Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information which is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide financial statements.

#### Other Supplementary Information

A listing of planning projects and programs is provided in the Other Supplementary Information as well as a combining statement of program activity. This information is not required as a part of the basic financial statements.

Regional Cooperation Since 1968

#### Government-wide Financial Analysis

The table below summarizes the Council's net assets as of September 30, 2003:

Assets		
Current and other assets	\$	239,436
Capital assets - net of accum dep	<del></del>	237,436
Total assets		239,436
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		244,958
Non-current liabilities		6,774
		6,774
Total liabilities		251 720
		251,732
Net Assets		
Investment in capital assets, net of related debt		
Unrestricted		(10.004)
		(12,296)
Total net assets	ď	(10.004)
• •	_\$	(12,296)

The Council's capital assets are fully depreciated and consequently report no value to the Council. Capital assets are used in supporting the Council's planning services.

The remaining portion of net assets, unrestricted net assets, may be used at the Council's discretion to meet ongoing obligations. The Council is reporting a negative unrestricted net assets category. The negative balance improved during fiscal year 2003, but still shows a deficit due to outstanding liabilities which are paid by the Council when they come due, rather than when they are incurred.

The results for the Council as a whole are reported in the Statement of Activities, which is summarized below:

Revenues and Other Support Expenses	\$	992,498 977,324
Change in net assets		15,174
Net assets-beginning of year		(27,470)
Net assets-end of year	<u>\$</u>	(12,296)

As shown above, the current year operating gain was \$15,174. Program revenues are directly related to program expenses. The Council relies on member appropriations each year from local units of government to help offset costs. The Council experienced an increase in net assets primarily due to increased member appropriations.

#### Capital Assets

At September 30, 2003 the Council had \$45,194 invested in capital assets. The following table summarizes the capital asset activity for the year:

	Oct 1, 2002		Additions		Disposals		Sept 30, 2003	
Assests being depreciated	\$	45,194	\$	-	\$	-	\$	45,194
Less accum depreciation		45,194		_				45,194
Net capital assets	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	<u>.</u>	\$	_	\$	_

#### **Economic Factors**

Northeast Michigan Council of Governments is a regional planning "commission" servicing various counties and local units of government in Northeast Michigan. The Council's funding is obtained from member appropriations as well as federal, state and other grants or contracts. Consequently, the Council is affected by economic fluctuations affecting Northeast Michigan as well as state and federal budgetary constraints.

#### **Financial Contact**

The Council's financial statements are designed to present users with a general overview of the Council's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be directed towards the Executive Director of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments.

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS September 30, 2003

A			2003
Ass	<u>sets</u>		
Current assets:			
Cash		\$	68,196
Accounts receivable		·	2,443
Due from grantors			166,792
Prepaid expenses			2,005
Total current assets			239,436
<u>-</u>			237,436
Fixed assets			45,194
Less: accumulated dep	reciation		(45,194)
Total fixed assets (ne	et)		_
Total assets		<b>.</b>	000 404
		\$	239,436
<u>Liabilities and</u>	Fund Equity		
Current liabilities:			
• Accounts payable		\$	170 400
Accrued payroll and to	axes withheld	Ψ	169,409
Notes payable - currer	nt portion		30,931
<ul> <li>Due to MESCA and NE</li> </ul>			6,272
Due to grantors			4,494 934
Deferred revenue			934 32,918
	-		32,710
Total current liabilities	S		244,958
Notes payable - long ter	m		/ 774
	-		6,774
Total liabilities	<del>.</del>		251,732
Net assets:			
Invested in capital asse	ets, net of related debt		_
Unrestricted	_		(12,296)
Total net assets			(10.00.4)
	-		(12,296)
Total liabilities and ne	t assets =	\$	239,436

# NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS Year Ended September 30, 2003

-	Payana and all	2003
-	Revenues and other support: Federal State Local In-kind contributrions Other income	\$ 373,837 308,622 187,379 121,992 668
	Total revenues and other support	992,498
-	Expenses: Salaries Fringes	289,099
****	Consultant fees Education Advertising	91,256 335,489 7,065
	Supplies Postage Telephone	267 2,932 854
-	Travel Printing	490 19,833 179
<b>-</b>	Equipment usage and maintenance Direct equipment and software Dues and subscription Meeting expense	825 8,129 3,119
-	Consultant travel Interest Legal and accounting services	2,829 1,789 1,465
-	Other: Other direct Other in-kind	9,115 5,393
•	Indirect costs	125,066 130
	Total expenses	977,324
•	Change in net assets	15,174
ı	Net assets, beginning	(27,470)
	Net assets, ending	\$ (12,296)

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS September 30, 2003

#### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In June 1999, the GASB issued Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments. In accordance with Statement No. 34, the Council is considered to be a Special Purpose Government due to being established to serve one particular purpose. To conform with the Statement, the Council has included a Management's Discussion and Analysis section. Because this is the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 34, prior year numbers are not presented within the Management's Discussion and Analysis. A comparative analysis will be provided in future years when prior year information is available.

The financial statements of the Northeast Michigan Council of Governments are prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The Council's reporting entity applies all relevant Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements. Proprietary funds apply Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements and Accounting Principles Board (APB) opinions issued on or before November 30, 1989, unless those pronouncements conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements, in which case, GASB prevails. Pronouncements of the FASB issued after November 30, 1989, are not applied in the preparation of the financial statements of the proprietary fund in accordance with GASB Statement No. 20.

#### A. Reporting Entity

The Northeast Michigan Council of Governments (the Council) is a regional planning "commission", as defined by Public Act 281 of 1945 of the State of Michigan, servicing various counties and local units of governments in Northeast Michigan. Through the Council, the units coordinate their efforts to maintain and improve the physical, economic and social well being of the area. The Council has been determined to be a local unit of government for financial purposes.

The Council's funding is obtained from county per capita dues and federal, state and other contracts for specified projects designed to further the Council's goals and objectives. Consequently, the Council's ability to collect the amounts due from the various counties and local units of government is affected by economic fluctuations affecting Northeast Michigan.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with criteria established by Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement (GASBS) No. 14 (and amended by GASBS No. 39). GASBS 14 states the primary basis for determining whether outside agencies and organizations should be considered component units of the Council and included in the Council's financial statements is financial accountability. Financial accountability has been defined as follows: A primary government has substantive authority to appoint a voting majority of the component unit's board; the primary government is either able to impose its will on a component unit or there is a potential for the component unit to provide specific financial burdens on the primary government; and the component unit is fiscally dependent on the primary government. The Council has no component units.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenses are to be recognized in the financial statements. As a governmental not-for-profit, which previously reported using the AICPA not-for-profit model, the Council is allowed to report as a special purpose government engaged only in business type activities.

#### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - CONTINUED

#### B. Basis of Accounting (continued)

The Council recognizes revenues and expenses on the "accrual basis of accounting". Under this method, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses (including depreciation) are recognized when a liability is created.

#### C. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired.

#### D. Receivables

The Council provides many services to governmental and quasi-governmental agencies. The Council has contracts to provide payment for these services rendered, along with other performance related objectives achieved, up to a maximum contractual dollar amount.

#### E. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include furniture and equipment, are reported in the Statement of Net Assets. Capital assets are defined by the Council as assets that are purchased or acquired with an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are reported at historical cost. Contributed assets are reported at fair market value as of the date donated. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation on all assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Furniture and other equipment

5-15 years

Equipment purchased with governmental grants, which could, subject to the specific contract terms, revert to the grantor, are expenses to the grant when acquired. All property and equipment was fully depreciated as of September 30, 2003.

#### F. Revenues and Other Support

#### Restricted and Unrestricted Revenue and Support

Contributions received are recorded as unrestricted or restricted support, depending on the existence and/or nature of any donor restrictions.

#### <u>Deferred</u> Revenue

Resources from various grants are recorded as revenue when the related costs are incurred. Donor restricted contributions and contractual advances are deferred and recognized over the periods to which the terms of the restricted contributions are substantially met and the contractual services are provided.

#### Donated Property and Equipment

Donations of property and equipment are recorded as support at their estimated fair value at the date of donation. Such donations are reported as unrestricted support unless the donor has restricted the donated asset to a specific purpose. Assets donated with explicit restrictions regarding their use and contributions of cash that must be used to acquire property and equipment are reported as restricted support.

#### NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - CONTINUED

#### **Donated Services**

Agencies, business firms and others contribute materials and services toward the fulfillment of projects initiated by the Council. To the extent that contributions of materials and services are objectively measurable and represent program or support expenditures which would otherwise be incurred by the Council, they are reflected in both other support and program expenses in the accompanying financial statements.

No volunteer services have been valued in the financial statements in as much as there is no objective basis available to measure the value of such services; however, a number of volunteers have donated substantial amounts of their time to program services.

#### G. Expenses

Expenses identified as applying to a specific program or supporting service are recorded in the appropriate service area as incurred. Expenses not directly attributable to a program or supporting service are allocated between service areas based on an indirect cost rate.

#### H. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### I. Income Taxes

The Council is a governmental unit as defined by Public Act 281 of 1945 of the State of Michigan and is therefore exempt from federal income taxation.

#### NOTE 2: CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Michigan Compiled Laws, Section 129.91, authorizes the Council to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations which have an office in Michigan. The Council is allowed to invest in bonds, securities and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; United States government or federal agency obligations; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptance of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications which mature not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions which are rated as investment grade; and units of government in Michigan.

The Council's deposits and investment policy are in accordance with statutory authority.

#### Cash Deposits

The Council places its temporary cash investments with financial institutions and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution. As of September 30, 2003, the Council had no significant concentrations of credit risk from temporary cash investments.

#### NOTE 3: CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity of the Council's primary government for the current year was as follows:

	Balance ober 1, 2002	Add	ditions	De	letions	Balance mber 30, 2003
Capital Assets Being Depreciated: Equipment	\$ 45,194	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 45,194
Less Accumulated Depreciation: Equipment	 (45,194)		-		_	(45,194)
Governmental Activities Net Capital Assets	\$ <del>-</del>	\$	-	\$	====	\$

There was no depreciation expense charged for the current year.

#### NOTE 4: DUE FROM GRANTORS

The amount reported as "Due from Grantors" as of September 30, 2003, consists of amounts due from the following:

Presque Isle County	\$ 11,286
State of Michigan Department of Environmental Quality	-
U.S. Department of Agriculture	33,157
	15,904
State of Michigan Office of Community Corrections	28,356
State of Michigan Department of Transportation	
Others	67,679
	10,410
Total Due from Grantors	#1// TOO
	<u>\$166./92</u>

#### NOTE 5: LEASES

Northeast Michigan Council of Governments leases a building under an operating lease that expires November 30, 2003. Amounts charged to expense under the operating lease totaled \$16,500 for the year ended September 30, 2003.

The Council has a first option to renew the lease at the expiration of the term. The lease or extension thereof may be canceled, with sixty (60) days prior written notice, by the Council if funds are not available.

#### NOTE 6: DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

The Council offers its employees a deferred compensation plan created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code 457. The plan, available to all Council employees, permits them to defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency.

#### NOTE 7: DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLAN

The Council provides pension benefits for full time employees, as designated by Council, through a defined contribution plan. In a defined contribution plan, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. The Council's contributions for each employee (and interest allocated to each employee's account) are fully vested immediately.

The Council's total payroll in fiscal year 2003 was \$289,099. As established by Council and governed by Federal regulations, the Council contributed 5% of the employees base wages, that were established on October 1, 1999, to a qualified retirement account. Contributions for the year totaled \$14,341.

#### NOTE 8: LONG-TERM DEBT

The following is a summary of the Council's long-term debt transactions for the year ended September 30, 2003:

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance	Amount Due Within One Year
Notes payable:	\$ 18,677	\$ -	\$ 5,631	\$ 13,046	\$ 6,272

Long-term liabilities payable at September 30, 2003 is composed of the following individual issue:

\$28,000 note payable. The monthly payment is \$610, with interest at a rate of 10.25%. The maturity date is September 2005.

13,046

The annual requirements to maturity for the outstanding note payable as of September 30, 2003, are as follows:

Year Ending		
September 30	Principal	Interest
2004	\$ 6,272 6,774	\$ 1,048 374
Total	\$ 13,046	\$ 1,422

#### NOTE 9: LINE OF CREDIT

The Council has available a revolving line of credit with a bank for \$35,000. The line of credit is renewed on an annual basis. Borrowings under the line of credit bear interest at the bank's prime rate plus 1%. All borrowings are collateralized by substantially all assets of the Council. There were no outstanding borrowings on the line of credit at September 30, 2003.

#### NOTE 10: INDIRECT COSTS

Indirect costs which support all projects are allocated based on the ratio of the individual project's salaries and fringe benefits to total projects salaries and fringe benefits. The following are the total indirect costs allocated to projects, including agency administration:

Supplies & printing Postage Legal and accounting Staff travel Telephone Rent Equipment usage and maintenance Dues, subscription and education Interest and service charges Computers/software and support	\$ 8,761 3,579 15,645 4,204 5,139 15,235 4,476 5,747 3,654
Computers/software and support	3,654 4,127
Other	\$ 1,563 72,130

#### NOTE 11: CONTINGENCIES

Certain funded contractual programs are subject to audit by the grantors. Upon audit, some expenditures may be disallowed and as a result, those amounts may be refundable. Such refunds if any, are generally payable from the Council's unrestricted fund balance. The Council feels that any potential disallowances are immaterial.

#### NOTE 12: RISK MANAGEMENT

The Council is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees and natural disasters. The Council carries commercial insurance for risks of loss, including workers' compensation and employee health and accident insurance. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded coverage in any of the past three years.

OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

# NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS PROGRAM ACTIVITY COMBINING STATEMENT FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

	EDA Grant (1)	EDA Grant (2)	CDBG Sunrise (3)	EDA II (4)
Revenues and other support:	\$ 25,391	\$ 12,397	\$ 3,336	\$ 11,688
Federal State	Ψ 20,071	ψ 12,077	\$ 3,330	ψ 11,000 -
Local	_	44	_	_
Contributions:	_			
In-Kind	20,742	_	_	_
Other	20,7 42	_	_	_
Officer				
Total revenues and other support	46,133	12,441	3,336	11,688
Expenses:				
Salaries	14,111	6,436	109	1,951
Fringes	4,448	2,029	34	615
Consultant fees	640	-	2,268	7,100
Education	-	-	-	-
Advertising	-	-	-	-
Supplies	-	72	•	-
Postage	-	-	-	-
Telephone	68	58	-	-
Travel	1,751	912	9	296
Printing	-	-	-	110
Equipment usage and maintenance	-	-	-	-
Direct equipment and software	-	-	-	-
Dues and subscription	903	936	-	38
Meeting expense	•	509	-	-
Consultant travel	-	-	-	-
Interest	-	-	-	-
Legal and accounting services	-	_	-	-
Other:				
Other direct	-	-	889	1,096
Other in-kind	20,742	-	-	-
Indirect costs	3,470	1,583	27	480
Total expenses	46,133	12,535	3,336	11,686
Excess (deficiency) of revenues and				_
other support over expenses	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ (94)	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ 2

OCC (5)	MDOT (6)	Alpena Transp. (7)	I-75 E/W Crossing (8)	Heritage (9)	Emmet Co. Transit (10)	Thunder Bay II (11)
\$ - 209,887 4,761	\$ - 64,655 -	\$ 11,952 3,103 9,600	\$ 44,000 11,000 4,987	\$ 65,000 - -	\$ 6,162 1,541	\$ 65,188
-		~	-	-	-	6,937 -
214,648	64,655	24,655	59,987	65,000	7,703	72,125
18,501 5,832 170,616	31,227 9,844 9,935	9,444 2,977 12,290	12,358 3,896 35,229	34,248 10,796 10,000	4,906 1,546	31,960 10,075
7,065 -	-	- -	- 267		- - -	13,681
145 95 364	1,460 18	87 -	63 -	104 128	-	32 -
897	3,912	700 -	148 -	1,374	44	2,394
825 547	- 190	-	- 4,987	- - -	- - -	- -
- 246 1,772	550 460 -	124 - 17	-	12	<del>-</del> -	120
-	- -	-	- - -	- -	- - -	-
2,281	13	-	-	182	-	-
4,549	7,678	2,322	3,039	8,421	1,207	6,937 7,859
213,735	65,287	27,961	59,987	65,265	7,703	73,064
\$ 913	\$ (632)	\$ (3,306)	\$ -	\$ (265)	_\$ -	\$ (939)

# NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS PROGRAM ACTIVITY COMBINING STATEMENT - (Continued) FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

Revenues and other support:	Twin Lakes (12)	Cheboygan River (13)	Misery Bay (14)	CZM (15)
Federal State	\$ -	\$ 63,590	\$ 17,497	¢ 210
Local	13,048	_	Ψ (7, <del>4</del> /7)	\$ 3,104
Contributions:	-	-	_	-
In-Kind				-
Other	11,300	13,089	-	-
Total revenues and other support	24,348	76,679	17,497	2 104
Expenses:				3,104
Salaries				
Fringes	2,095	25,560	10,507	1,640
Consultant fees	660	8,057	3,312	517
Education	11,047	24,687	-	517
Advertising	-	-	-	_
Supplies	-	-	-	_
Postage	-	-	32	_
Telephone	-	-	<u>-</u>	_
Travel	-	-	_	_
Printing	23	738	851	89
Equipment usage and maintance	-	-	38	~
Direct equipment and software	•	-	-	-
Dues and subscription	-	-	-	-
Meeting expense	-	-	174	_
Consultant travel	-	38	-	-
Interest	-	-	-	-
Legal and accounting services	-	-	-	-
Oiner:	-	-	-	_
Other direct				
Other in-kind	11,300	35	-	_
ndirect costs		13,088	-	_
	515	6,285	2,583	404
Total Expenses	25,640	78,488	17,497	
cess (deficiency) of revenues and				2,650
other support over expenses	\$ (1,292) \$	(1,809) \$	- \$	5 454

CZM GIS (16)	Bovine TB Study (17)	Link Michigan (18)	Hazard Mitigation (19)	Alpena Ping Comm. (20)	Other (21)
\$ 6,495 - -	\$ 38,037 - 220	\$ - 5,388 924	\$ - - 84,424	\$ -	\$ -
16,050	6,233 	3,000	26,423	1 <i>5,</i> 1 <i>5</i> 8 - -	17,544 - -
22,545	44,490	9,312	110,847	15,158	17,544
4,152 1,309	29 9 37,996	3,148 992	50,313 15,861	8,503 2,681	12,402 3,910
- - -		- - -	-	- - -	- - -
- - 13	- -	113 - 144	565 - -	- -	73 - -
- -	- -	-	2,909 - - 2,405	1,883 - -	723 - -
-	- 261 -	- 1,141 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
-	-	-	- -	- - -	- -
16,050 1,021	6,233 7	3,000 774	26,423 12,371	- - 2,091	- - 3,051
22,545	44,535	9,312	110,847	15,158	20,159
\$ -	\$ (45)	\$ -	\$	\$ - 5	\$ (2,615)

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS PROGRAM ACTIVITY

## COMBINING STATEMENT - (Continued) FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

Revenues and other and	Individual Program Subtotal	General Program Operations	Total Program Activity
Revenues and other support: Federal			
State State	\$ 373,837	\$ -	\$ 373,83
	308,622	· •	308,62
Local	137,662	49,717	
Contributions:		,	187,37
In-Kind	103,774	18,218	101.00
Other		668	121,99: 66
Total revenues and other support	923,895	68,603	992,498
Expenses:			
Salaries	283,600	5,499	
Fringes	89,400		289,099
Consultant fees	335,489	1,856	91,258
Education	7,065	-	335,489
Advertising	267	-	7,065
Supplies	2,633	•	267
Postage		299	2,932
Telephone	354	500	854
Travel	490	-	490
Printing	19,810	23	19,833
Equipment usage and maintance	154	25	179
Direct equipment and software	825	*	825
Dues and subscription	8,129	-	8,129
Meeting expense	2,845	274	3,119
Consultant travel	2,667	162	2,829
Interest	1,789	-	1,789
	-	1,465	1,465
Legal and accounting services Other:	-	9,115	9,115
Other direct			7,110
Other direct Other in-kind	4,496	897	5,393
Indirect costs	103,773	21,293	125,066
11 GII BC1 C0515	69,737	2,393	72,130
Total expenses	933,523	43,801	977,324
cess (deficiency) of revenues and			
other support over expenses	\$ (9,628)	\$ 24,802	\$ 15,174

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

#### <u>List of Programs</u>

Funding Source	<u>Program Period</u>
U.S. Dept. of Commerce Economic Development Administration	04/01/03-03/31/04
U.S. Dept. of Commerce Economic Development Administration	04/01/02-03/31/03
U.S. Dept of Housing and Urban Dev. Michigan Strategic Fund	05/01/02-05/30/03
U.S. Dept of Commerce Economic Development Administration	01/01/02-12/31/02
State of Michigan Dept. of Corrections	10/01/02-9/30/03
State of Michigan Dept. of Transportation	10/01/02-09/30/03
State of Michigan Dept. of Transportation	10/01/01-09/30/03
State of Michigan Dept. of Transportation	10/01/02-09/30/03
State of Michigan Dept. of Transportation	10/01/02-09/30/03
State of Michigan Dept. of Transportation	10/01/02-09/30/03
State of Michigan Dept. of Environmental Quality	11/01/02-10/31/04
State of Michigan	10/30/01-12/31/02
State of Michigan Dept. of Environmental Quality	12/26/01-12/14/03
	U.S. Dept. of Commerce Economic Development Administration U.S. Dept. of Commerce Economic Development Administration U.S. Dept of Housing and Urban Dev. Michigan Strategic Fund U.S. Dept of Commerce Economic Development Administration  State of Michigan Dept. of Corrections  State of Michigan Dept. of Transportation  State of Michigan Dept. of Environmental Quality  State of Michigan Dept. of Environmental Quality  State of Michigan Dept. of Environmental Quality

### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

#### <u>List of Programs (Continued)</u>

*****	<u>Program</u>	Funding Source	Program Period
	Environmental Projects		
-	(14) Misery Bay Great Lakes Program GL-97512201	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	09/16/02-09/16/04
_	(15) Coastal Zone Management Greenways 02-6217-06	State of Michigan Dept. of Environmental Quality	03/31/02-12/31/02
-	(16) Coastal Zone Management GIS 03-309-13	State of Michigan Dept. of Environmental Quality	10/01/03-09/30/04
_	Rural Development Projects		
_	(17) Rural Business Enterprise Grant	U.S. Dept. of Agriculture	08/12/02-08/11/03
	<u>Planning Projects</u>		
-	(18) Otsego County Link Michigan Plan	Otsego County	09/01/02-09/01/03
_	(19) Presque Isle County Hazard Mitigation Plan	Presque Isle County Michigan Strategic Fund	10/01/02-09/30/04
-	(20) Alpena County Master Plan and Recreation Plan	Alpena County	01/01/03-12/31/03
	Other Projects		
-	(21) Various other small projects		
-			

<b>-</b>	
	FEDERAL SINGLE AUDIT REPORTING
	TEELING AUDIT KEFORING

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

November 18, 2004

Board of Directors Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Gaylord, MI

We have audited the financial statements of the business type activities of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments as of and for the year ended September 30, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated November 18, 2004. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Board of Directors Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Page 2

Hill, Ichrodenes & co.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Petoskey, Michigan

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A -133

November 18, 2004

Board of Directors Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Gaylord, MI

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2003. Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that examining, on a test basis, evidence about Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Northeast Michigan Council of Governments complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2003.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Northeast Michigan Council of Governments' internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Board of Directors Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Gaylord, MI Page 2

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors, management and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Hill, Schröderus & co.

Petoskey, Michigan

## NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND NON-FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,2003

Federal Grantor Pass Through Grantor	Pass-Through Grantor's	Federal CFDA		Award		Federal
Program Title Grant Number	Number	Number	<u>Federal</u>	State	Total	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture						
Rural Business Enterprise Grant:						
August 12, 2002 - August 11, 2003	-	10.769	\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ 40,000	\$ 38,037
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			40,000	-	40,000	38,037
U.S. Department of Commerce						
Economic Assistance:						
Support for Planning Organizations		11.302				
April 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004	06-83-04807		55,000	-	55,000	25,391
April 1, 2002 - March 31, 2003	06-83-04677		55,000		55,000	12,397
			110,000		110,000	37,788
Planning Assistance:	06-86-04634	11.306	60,000	-	60,000	11,688
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration:						
Passed Through the MI Dept.of Environmental Quality:						
Coastal Zone Management Admin.		11.419				
October 01, 2003 - September 30, 2004	03-309-13		24,000	-	24,000	6,495
March 31, 2002 - December 31, 2002	02-6217-06		20,000		20,000	3,104
			44,000		44,000	9,599
Total U.S. Dept. of Commerce			214,000		214,000	59,075
U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development						
Passed through Michigan Strategic Fund:						
Community Development Block Grant	MSC201045-EDPA	14.228	25,000	<del>-</del>	25,000	3,336
Total U.S. Department of Housing						
and Urban Development			25,000	_	25,000	3,336

	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1
U.S. Department of Transportation						
Passed Through MI Dept. of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction: I-75 East-West Crossing US 23 Heritage Route Emmet County Transportation Plan Alpena County Transportation Plan Total U.S. Department of Transportation	73253 73242 74292A 58290	20.205	81,600 52,000 52,000 54,400	20,400 13,000 13,000 13,600	102,000 65,000 65,000 68,000	44,000 65,000 6,162 11,952
			240,000	60,000	300,000	127,114
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency						
<del>-</del>	GL-97512201	66.469	53,497	<u> </u>	53,497	17,497
Great Lakes Program  Passed Through the MI Dept. of Environmental Quality:  Water Quality Management Planning		66.469	53,497		53,497	17,497
cassed Through the MI Dept. of Environmental Quality:		66.469 66.454 66.460	143,604 119,000 262,604	- - - -	53,497 143,604 119,000 262,604	65,188 63,590
Passed Through the MI Dept. of Environmental Quality: Water Quality Management Planning Cheboygan River/Lower Black	2002-2006	66.454	143,604 119,000	- - - -	143,604 119,000	65,188

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND NON-FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

#### NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and Non-Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments and is presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

#### NOTE B - SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

The financial reports, including claims for advances and reimbursements, and amounts claimed or used for matching, are timely, complete, accurate, and contain information that is supported by the books and records from which the basic financial statements have been prepared. The total amount reported as federal revenue on the financial statements agrees to the total amount of federal expenditures on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal and Non-Federal Awards.

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

NONE

#### NORTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

#### A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

- The auditor's report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments.
- No reportable conditions relating to the audit of the financial statements are reported in the Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards.
- No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of Northeast Michigan Council of Governments were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. No reportable conditions were disclosed during the audit of the major federal award programs as reported in the Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133.
- 5. The auditor's report on compliance for the major federal award programs for Northeast Michigan Council of Governments expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 6. The auditor's report on compliance for each major program expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 7. The Council's Rural Business Enterprise Grant (CFDA 10.769), Highway Planning and Construction Grants (CFDA 20.205) and River Management Planning Grant (CFDA 66.460) were tested as major programs.
- 8. The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. Northeast Michigan Council of Governments was not determined to be a low-risk audit.

#### B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

None

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

None